

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,166	03/10/2004	Johan Thevelein	Q80427	3449	
23373 7	12/13/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			JOIKE, MICHELE K		
SUITE 800	'LVANIA AVENUE, N.V	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		1636		
	•		DATE MÄILED: 12/13/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/796,166	THEVELEIN ET A	AL.			
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Michele K. Joike, Ph.D.	1636				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ars on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MINIOR OF T	IAILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMUNIC (a). In no event, however, may a rate apply and will expire SIX (6) MON that the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 September 2006</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,10,12,14-23,38 and 40-62</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-7, 9, 10, 12, 38, 40-56, and 59-62</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,14,57 and 58</u> is/are rejected.							
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	N						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
• • • • • •				•				
Attachment			A) 🖂 Intensions C	`man, (DTO 412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	·	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application 				

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of a reply to the previous Office Action, filed September 18, 2006. Claims 1, 51 and 57 were amended.

Claims 1-7, 9, 10, 12, 14-23, 38 and 40-62 are pending; claims 1-7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 38 and 40-62 are under consideration in the instant application. Any rejection of record in the previous Office Action, mailed June 16, 2006, that is not addressed in this action has been withdrawn.

Because this Office Action only maintains rejections set forth in the previous

Office Action and/or sets forth new rejections that are necessitated by amendment, this

Office Action is made FINAL.

Claim Objections

Claim 57 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim has the wrong status identifier. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 14, 57 and 58 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1636

Response to Arguments Concerning Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112 (2)

Applicant's arguments filed September 18, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The following grounds of traversal are presented:

Applicant argues that the term "bad smell" and "bad or abnormal taste" are within common knowledge of the skilled artisan. Yeast Technology is cited in support of desiring a yeast strain that is provided "without affecting product quality." The argument is that bakery product quality refers to organoleptic properties. Therefore, a yeast strain not leading to a bad smell or abnormal taste is a common aspect of yeast strain improvement.

Applicants also argue that the terms "bad taste or off-flavors" are not descriptive of personal preferences and dislikes, but are rather used to refer to characteristics that are alien to the normal product; an example of milk as being "off" is presented by applicants and is determined by the skilled artisan, who knows the taste and smell profiles that are abnormal to consumers as a collective entity.

Applicant's arguments have not been found persuasive for the following reasons:

"Bad smell" and "bad or abnormal taste" are relative terms and a matter of personal preference, so are the terms "bad tastes or off-flavors". While it may be a common aspect of strain improvement to not have a bad smell or abnormal taste, it is not clear what improvement would cause a strain to not have a bad taste or smell, since it would depend on the preferences of the individual. An individual determines

Application/Control Number: 10/796,166

Art Unit: 1636

something to have a bad taste based entirely on his or her personal preference, as many individuals have conflicting views on what has a good or bad taste. For instance, one individual may like the taste of mayonnaise, while another individual may expound that it has a "bad taste". This is also true with regard to the "milk example" provided in the argument above; one individual may not dislike a certain level of bacterial contamination of milk, thereby not considering the milk as "off" while another individual will consider the milk "off" because they are more sensitive to the presence of bacterial contamination. This is indeed a matter of personal preference.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 10, 12, 38, 40-56, and 59-62 are allowable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,166

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele K. Joike, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-5915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michele K Joike, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1636

PRIMARY EXAMINER